

Additional information on Full Council (16th July 2024)

Item 13, Submission to the Boundary Commission

In the published report, in 2 instances, it says that information on election savings costs will be circulated under separate cover. This information is now available and the revised paragraph 3.13 and revised section 7 are shown below with additions in **bold**.

Para 3.13 to now read:

- 3.13 With no agreement from the Member Working Group to this approach it is recommended that Council votes on these options. The submission to the LGBCE will be amended to reflect the agreed position. There are arguments in favour of either option with all-out elections providing certainty for a period of four years and with the reduced cost of holding the elections. **The annual equivalent saving associated with all-out elections compared to the current elections by thirds is £86,000 p.a. and amounting to £344,000 over the 4 year electoral cycle.** Elections by thirds enables the Council to evolve and keeps the accountability with residents/electorate strong.

Director of Finance's comments

7. There are no direct financial implications arising from the submission to the LGBCE at this stage however should the Council determine that it wishes to change to a system of all-out elections there is likely to be an annual equivalent saving **of £86,000p.a. and amounting to £344,000 over the 4 year electoral cycle.** There may be other financial implications in relation to "Council Size" depending on the final recommended decision.