

Appendix A - Schedule of Complaints - for year 2022 *(complaints received and concluded)*

Complaint No.	Date complaint received	Summary and outcome of complaint	Complaint by -
1.	1 July 2022 (complaint on same matter as below #2)	<p>The subject member was alleged to have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Failed to treat others with respect b) Failed to conduct yourself in a manner which could reasonably be regarded as bringing your office or the Authority into disrepute. <p>The Initial Filtering Panel consider complaints 1 and 2 together and decided there was a breach of the Code on both allegations.</p> <p>The agreed outcome was that the Member is requested to:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Meet with the Monitoring Officer to talk through the legality of deformation and the proper use of social media platforms within the scope of the role of a councillor; and 2. Provide a formal apology; and 3. Provide a notice of correction to the News regarding an article as a result of social media post. 	Councillor
2.	1 July 2022 (complaint on same matter as above #1)	<p>The subject member was alleged to have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Failed to treat others with respect. b) Failed to not bully a person. c) Failed to conduct yourself in a manner which could reasonably be regarded as bringing your office or the Authority into disrepute. d) Failed by using or attempting to use your position as a member improperly to confer on or secure for yourself or any other person an advantage or disadvantage. <p>The Initial Filtering Panel consider complaints 1 and 2 together and decided there was a breach of the Code on points a) and c) above.</p> <p>The agreed outcome was that the Member is requested to:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Meet with the Monitoring Officer to talk through the legality of deformation and the proper use of social media platforms within the scope of the role of a councillor; and 2. Provide a formal apology; and 3. Provide a notice of correction to the News regarding an article as a result of social media post. 	Councillor

Complaint No.	Date complaint received	Summary and outcome of complaint	Complaint by -
3.	28 April 2022 (A delay normal time expected for an IFP hearing to take place was due to Purdah)	<p>The subject member was alleged to have:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Failed to treat others with respect. b) Failed to not bully a person. c) Failed to not intimidate, or try to intimidate, anyone who has complained about you or who may be involved in a complaint about you. d) Failed by compromising the impartiality of the Authority's officers. e) Failed by preventing a person from gaining access to information to which they are entitled. f) Failed to conduct yourself in a manner which could reasonably be regarded as bringing your office or the Authority into disrepute. g) Failed by using or attempting to use your position as a member improperly to confer on or secure for yourself or any other person an advantage or disadvantage. h) Failed to, when using or authorising the use by others of the resources of the Authority, act in accordance with the Authority's reasonable requirements and ensure that the resources are not used improperly for political purposes. i) Failed when reaching decisions, having regard to the relevant advice from the Authority's officers and to give reasons for decisions. j) Failed to have regard to any applicable Local Authority Code of Publicity made under the Local Government Act 1986 or otherwise. <p>The IFP decided there was no breach of the Code and no actions were recommended.</p> <p>Complainant did not exercise their right to request that the decision be taken to an Assessment Sub-Committee to be reviewed within the 10 days (or at all) after notification of the Panel's decision.</p>	Public
4.	22 July 2022	<p>The subject member was alleged to have</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Failed to conduct themselves in a manner which could reasonably be regarded as bringing their office or the Authority into disrepute. <p>The IFP decided that there was no breach of the Code.</p> <p>The agreed outcome was should the complainant wish to pursue the matter, they should go directly to the political party concerned.</p>	Public