City of Portsmouth MEMBERS' INFORMATION SERVICE

NO 18 DATE: FRIDAY 7 MAY 2021

The Members' Information Service (MIS) is produced in the Corporate Services Directorate. If you wish to be removed from the distribution list please let Democratic Services know. The MIS has been prepared in three parts:

- Part 1 Decisions by the Cabinet and individual Cabinet Members, subject to Councillors' right to have the matter called in for scrutiny.
- Part 2 Proposals from Managers which they would like to implement subject to Councillors' right to have the matter referred to the relevant Cabinet Member or Regulatory Committee; and
- Part 3 Items of general information and news.

Part 1 - Decisions by the Cabinet

The following decisions have been taken by the Cabinet (or individual Cabinet Members), and will be implemented unless the call-in procedure is activated. Rule 15 of the Policy and Review Panels Procedure Rules requires a call-in notice to be signed by any 5 members of the Council. The call-in request must be made to democratic@portsmouthcc.gov.uk and must be received by not later than 5 pm on the date shown in the item.

If you want to know more about a proposal, please contact the officer indicated. You can also see the report on the Council's web site at www.portsmouth.gov.uk

THERE ARE NO PART 1 ITEMS THIS WEEK

Part 2 - Proposals from Managers for Implementation

The following proposals have been brought forward. The Managers indicated will exercise their powers to approve the proposal unless a councillor requests the item be referred for decision to the relevant Cabinet Member or Regulatory Committee. Your request must be made to democratic@portsmouthcc.gov.uk and must be received by not later than 5 pm on Friday14 May 2021.

An email or handwritten letter will suffice.

If you want to know more about a proposal, please contact the Officer indicated.

PORTFOLIO: PLANNING POLICY & CITY DEVELOPMENT

FRIDAY 7 MAY 2021

	WARD	SUBJECT AND PROPOSAL	OFFICER CONTACT
1	Hilsea	Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) - Neighbourhood spend to fund localised educational infrastructure by way of the purchase of IT devices that will be distributed to children via their schools within the Hilsea ward.	
		The sum of £1,666 from the Hilsea ward neighbourhood CIL total is proposed to be allocated to fund the purchase of refurbished IT devices that can then be distributed to children who are in need via their schools within the ward the funds are obtained from.	outhcc.gov.uk
		Investing in localised IT infrastructure of this nature will also encourage children's social and mental wellbeing as that enables them to be part of their community and feel connected.	Adam Breacher Planning Obligations Spend Officer Adam.Breacher@por
		For more information on the project, please click on the following link:	tsmouthcc.gov.uk
		https://shapingportsmouth.co.uk/education_programme/digital-enablement/	
		The project will be delivered by Shaping Portsmouth.	

PLANNING APPLICATIONS TO BE DETERMINED BY ASSISTANT DIRECTOR PLANNING AND ECONOMIC GROWTH

The Assistant Director of Planning and Economic Growth will exercise their powers to determine the following applications in accordance with the proposed decision for each application unless a Councillor requests the application be referred for decision to Committee.

Your request should be made to the Assistant Director - Planning and Economic Growth by telephoning the validation team (023 9283 4826 or 023 9283 4339 answerphone) and must be received not later than 5pm on Friday 14 May 2021. You can also make contact by letter or by e-mail to planningreps@portsmouthcc.gov.uk. If you wish to know more about a particular application, please contact the Case Officer indicated.

Item No	Application No Ward	Location Description of Development	Planning Officer's Comments	Case Officer Proposed Decision
2	20/01142/HOU Cosham	Portsmouth Construction of single storey extension to rear of property with pitch roof and floor to be dropped to access garden directly.	One neighbour objected. This is summarised below: a) Concerned about the size - not in keeping with other extensions in our road. b) It will restrict light into our rear dining area. c) Would like to know what the proposed elevation will look like from our side of the property, including box guttering & wall rendering. d) Outlook. e) Not received the party wall agreement. Response: 1) Although several rear extensions along the southern side of St Georges Road are a similar size, there are some larger extensions. Therefore, the proposed extension would not appear out of keeping in the street. Furthermore, the proposed extension would be located at the rear of the property and would not be easily visible from nearby streets. 2) The rear of the neighbouring properties of 8 and 12 Georges Road face south. The proposed extension would extend around 3m further than these neighbour's extensions. Given the scale of the proposal and orientation of these neighbouring properties, it would not result in a significant loss of sunlight or daylight to these neighbours windows or gardens. 3) Proposed side elevation drawings are included on the plans. The planning application refers to the extension being built in block work and clad in Eternit or similar cladding. Much of the wall materials would be obscured from neighbours by the existing boundary fencing. I consider cladding would be an acceptable material.	Lorna Gilbert Lorna.gilbert@portsmouth

Item No	Application No Ward	Location Description of Development	Planning Officer's Comments	Case Officer Proposed Decision
			4) The eaves of the extension would extend to 2.73m high. The extension would extend around 3m further in depth than the neighbours' extensions. Although the extension would be located next to a terraced patio area at No.12 Georges Road, it is considered the height and depth of the proposal would not appear excessive above the existing boundary fence. A driveway separates the proposal from No.8 Georges Road. 5) A party wall agreement is not a material planning consideration.	
3	20/01210/HOU St Jude	15 Malvern Road Southsea PO5 2LZ Construction of single storey ground floor rear extension following removal of small existing extension.	One neighbour objected. This is summarised below: a) The proposed single storey extension will block a significant natural light source to our kitchen. Specifically the construction of the wall to extend the kitchen of 15 Malvern Road. b) The side window in our kitchen streams natural light, this will be replaced/obstructed by a wall. Current view allows view of sky, ie the source of light.	Lorna Gilbert Lorna.gilbert@portsmouth
			Response: 1) The proposal would involve replacing existing single storey extensions at the property which extend up to 6.4m long, between 2.5m and 3.6m high and are set back between 2m and 3.7m from the shared boundary with 11a Malvern Road (No.11a). 2) The proposed extension would extend 5.2m long and be between 3.3m and 3.6m high. It would be set back 2m from the boundary with No.11a. Consequently, a larger proportion of the proposal would be higher and extend closer to the boundary with No.11a compared with existing. 3) No.11a has one ground floor window on the main set in rear wall of the property and two ground floor flank windows on the rear projection which are set back around 1.5m from the shared boundary. 4) The rear of No.11a faces south-west and the proposed extension would be located north-west of the proposed windows. There is a brick wall and hedge by the boundary between these neighbours. It is considered the proposal would not result in a significant loss of light to ground floor windows at No.11a due to the combination of the orientation of 11a, the existing boundary treatment and the 2m set back of the proposed extension from the shared boundary.	

Item A	Application No Ward	Location Description of Development	Planning Officer's Comments	Case Officer Proposed Decision
	20/01382/PLAR EG St Thomas	173 Elm Grove Southsea PO5 1LU Retrospective application for installation of extraction flue, coldstore and associated condensing unit (as alternative to details approved in planning permission 15/01941/FUL) and detached storage shed to rear	Two letters of objection (from the maisonette above) have been received raising the following concerns: a) noise and vibration from use of extraction equipment are heard/felt throughout the whole maisonette above; when the fan runs at higher speeds it is very loud at various times throughout the day/evening; b) proximity of new location of external flue to bedroom windows; the layout of the buildings at the rear amplifies noise; c) Regulatory Services ran noise level tests and stated in the report that when the fan is operated on higher speeds it would have an adverse impact on the occupiers of the maisonette above; d) the extremely strong odours and unpleasant smells from the premises below fill the main living areas of the maisonette above and are very nauseating; e) former occupier of the ground floor premises did not always adhere to closing times - worried that current occupier also may not. Regulatory Services (RS) has visited the site and experienced the equipment operating. Readings have been taken both outside, and internally at 173a Elm Grove (the maisonette above). RS advise that running the fan at the lower speed (45dB(A)) will not cause any issues up until 23:00hrs as this is the accepted night period for acoustic assessments. Running the fan at high speed is likely to exceed 45dB(A) so it is recommend that the extraction system is turned off by 23:00hrs and in order to ensure adequate protection prior to that, a condition is imposed to ensure that noise from the operation of the kitchen extraction system shall not exceed LAeq(15min) 45dB(A) as measured 1 metre from the façade of the nearest noise sensitive premises. RS advise that odour control equipment is still in place from the previous consent and RS did not receive any complaints regarding the previous operation in this respect. Opening times would be restricted by condition (07:00-23:00hrs, as per earlier permission). Non-compliance of conditions would be open to enforcement action.	Alison Pinkney Tel: 023 9283 4305 Conditional Permission

Item No	Application No Ward	Location Description of Development	Planning Officer's Comments	Case Officer Proposed Decision
5	21/00126/ADV Copnor	Mayfield School Mayfield Road Portsmouth Display of 3 non-illuminated fascia signs; 2 non-illuminated totem sign; and 3 non-illuminated directional signs.	Two representations have been received from neighbouring residents, one raising general queries and one objecting to the proposal on the following grounds: (a) Size of the signage has increased since original application (b) Unsuitable for a residential area; (c) Queries over application publicity. Sign B on the southern elevation has increased in size, from the initial submitted plans with the school re-development. However the proposed signs are still considered to be appropriate given the overall scale of the development and are not in the officers view to be excessive or in appropriate for the area. Additionally all signs are non-illuminated and therefore not considered to be overly intrusive towards the residential character of the area. The two proposed entrance totem signs would measure 2.5m in height and 0.8m in width. All neighbours immediately facing the signs were consulted, additionally a site notice was in front of the school building for the full 6 weeks publicity.	Matthew Garrad Tel: 07787 285 354 Conditional Consent

Part 3 - Information and News Items

THERE ARE NO PART 3 ITEMS THIS WEEK