

# City of Portsmouth MEMBERS' INFORMATION SERVICE

**NO 50**

**DATE: FRIDAY 16 DECEMBER 2011**

The Members' Information Service produced in the Customer, Community & Democratic Services Unit by Joanne Wildsmith has been prepared in three parts -

Part 1 - Decisions by the Cabinet and individual Cabinet Members, subject to Councillors' right to have the matter called in for scrutiny.

Part 2 - Proposals from Managers which they would like to implement subject to Councillors' right to have the matter referred to the relevant Cabinet Member or Regulatory Committee; and

Part 3 - Items of general information and news.

## **Part 1 - Decisions by the Cabinet**

**The following decisions have been taken by the Cabinet (or individual Cabinet Members), and will be implemented unless the call-in procedure is activated. Rule 15 of the Policy and Review Panels Procedure Rules requires a call-in notice to be signed by any 5 members of the Council. The call-in request must be made to JOANNE WILDSMITH (Local Democracy Officer) and must be received by not later than 5 pm on the date shown in the item.**

If you want to know more about a proposal, please contact the officer indicated. You can also see the report on the Council's web site at [www.portsmouth.gov.uk](http://www.portsmouth.gov.uk)

	WARD	DECISION	OFFICER CONTACT
1		<p><b>Cabinet Member for Children &amp; Education's Decision Meeting – 15 December</b></p> <p><b>Admissions Consultation 2013/14</b></p> <p>The Cabinet Member considered and agreed changes to admissions policies for community and voluntary controlled schools 2013/14, the co-ordinated scheme for 2013/14 and the in year scheme 2012/13 in order to go out to public consultation on 1 January 2012.</p> <p>The Cabinet member, therefore, approved the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Appendix 1 - Co-ordinated Scheme for In Year Admissions 2012/13</li> <li>2. Appendix 2a and 2b - Co-ordinated Scheme for the Primary and Secondary Transfer groups 2013/14.</li> <li>3. Appendix 3 - Secondary community schools admission policy</li> <li>4. Appendix 4 - Infant community schools admissions policy</li> <li>5. Appendix 5 - Junior community school admissions policy</li> <li>6. Appendix 6 - Primary community and voluntary schools admission policy</li> </ol> <p><b>NB: Call-in date: Friday 23 December 2011</b></p>	<p><b>Teresa Deasy</b>  <b>Customer,</b>  <b>Community &amp;</b>  <b>Democratic Services</b>  <b>Tel: 9283 4056</b></p>

## **Part 2 - Proposals from Managers for Implementation**

The following proposals have been brought forward. The Managers indicated will exercise their powers to approve the proposal unless a Councillor requests the item be referred for decision to the relevant Cabinet Member or Regulatory Committee. **Your request must be made to JOANNE WILDSMITH (Local Democracy Officer) and must be received by not later than 5 pm on Friday 23 December 2011.**  
**An email or handwritten letter will suffice.**

If you want to know more about a proposal, please contact the Officer indicated.

**PORTFOLIO: GOVERNANCE & AUDIT**

**FRIDAY 16 DECEMBER 2011**

	WARD	SUBJECT AND PROPOSAL	OFFICER CONTACT																											
2		<p><b>Annual Review of Fees for the Register Office</b></p> <p><b>Proposal:</b> Non statutory fees and charges at the Register Office are reviewed annually and with effect from 1 April 2012 the following non-statutory fees will increase:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wedding venues</li> <li>• The De-commissioned Ceremony room</li> </ul> <p>This is in line with charges made by other Local Authorities and is in accordance with national guidelines. Couples booking marriages, post April 2012, have been advised that fees will be increasing and this has not had an adverse effect on bookings.</p> <p><b>Wedding Venues – New fee effective from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2012</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="398 986 1532 1177"> <thead> <tr> <th>Day</th> <th>Current Fee (£)</th> <th>New Fee (£)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Monday to Thursday</td> <td>330</td> <td>355</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Friday</td> <td>350</td> <td>375</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Saturday</td> <td>425</td> <td>450</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sunday/Bank Holiday</td> <td>525</td> <td>550</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>De-commissioned Ceremony room – New fee effective from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2012</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="398 1254 1532 1407"> <thead> <tr> <th>Day</th> <th>Current Fee (£)</th> <th>New Fee (£)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Monday to Thursday</td> <td>100</td> <td>115</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Friday</td> <td>150</td> <td>175</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Saturday</td> <td>200</td> <td>225</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Day	Current Fee (£)	New Fee (£)	Monday to Thursday	330	355	Friday	350	375	Saturday	425	450	Sunday/Bank Holiday	525	550	Day	Current Fee (£)	New Fee (£)	Monday to Thursday	100	115	Friday	150	175	Saturday	200	225	<p><b>Lorraine Porter</b>  <b>Superintendent</b>  <b>Registrar</b>  <b>Tel: 9282 9041</b></p>
Day	Current Fee (£)	New Fee (£)																												
Monday to Thursday	330	355																												
Friday	350	375																												
Saturday	425	450																												
Sunday/Bank Holiday	525	550																												
Day	Current Fee (£)	New Fee (£)																												
Monday to Thursday	100	115																												
Friday	150	175																												
Saturday	200	225																												

	WARD	SUBJECT AND PROPOSAL	OFFICER CONTACT												
	Contd/...	<p><b>Review of Licensing Fee for Approved Premises</b></p> <p>Managers of premises have always been strongly advised to have more than one room available. This is because if only one room is licensed and it cannot be used (e.g. water damage, ceiling collapsed etc) the wedding could not then take place. This would leave the premises open to being sued by the bridal party. It is not legally possible to licence an extra room on the day of the wedding in these circumstances and the whole licensing process would have to be done again for another room to be used.</p> <p>The License Fee for Approved Premises is not reviewed annually as the license fee is valid for three years. In order to ensure that two rooms are always available it is necessary to include this as a condition of the license. A review of the Licensing Fee has also been undertaken. Premises could still elect to have more than two rooms licensed and the fee for those extra rooms would remain at £200 per room.</p> <p><b>Licensing Fee for Approved Premises – New fee effective from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2012</b></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="405 810 1541 965"> <thead> <tr> <th>Number of rooms</th> <th>Current Fee (£)</th> <th>New Fee (£)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>One room</td> <td>700</td> <td>-</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Each additional room</td> <td>200</td> <td>200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Two rooms</td> <td>-</td> <td>1,500</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Number of rooms	Current Fee (£)	New Fee (£)	One room	700	-	Each additional room	200	200	Two rooms	-	1,500	
Number of rooms	Current Fee (£)	New Fee (£)													
One room	700	-													
Each additional room	200	200													
Two rooms	-	1,500													

## PLANNING APPLICATIONS TO BE DETERMINED BY HEAD OF PLANNING SERVICES

The Head of Planning Services will exercise his powers to determine the following applications in accordance with the proposed decision for each application unless a Councillor requests the application be referred for decision to Committee.

Your request should be made to the **Head of Planning Services** by telephoning **Julie Watson** (☎023 9283 4826 or 023 9283 4339 answerphone) and must be received by not later than **5 pm on 23 December 2011**. You can also make contact by letter or by e-mail to - [planningreps@portsmouthcc.gov.uk](mailto:planningreps@portsmouthcc.gov.uk) - If you wish to know more about a particular application, please contact the Case Officer indicated.

Item No	Application No Ward	Location Description of Development	Planning Officer's Comments	Case Officer Proposed Decision
3	11/01068/PLAREG  St Thomas	<b>Car Park Cambridge Road Portsmouth</b>  Continued use as car park	<p>A local resident has objected to the proposal on the grounds that: (a) the proposal would result in a loss of a large area of Ravelin Park; and (b) there is sufficient parking elsewhere in the surrounding area to meet the university's needs.</p> <p>The site has operated as a car park on a temporary basis for eight years, the site previously being occupied by buildings. The applicant now seeks permission for the permanent continued use of the car park as the site has been identified as being best suited to supporting the University's transport strategy in respect of car parking. The proposals would not have a significant impact on the setting of Ravelin Park, and it is therefore considered that use of the site for car parking would prove to be an appropriate university use in accordance with saved policy ST2 of the Adopted Local Plan.</p>	<p>Jade Ellis</p> <p>Tel: 023 9284 1470</p> <p><b>Conditional Permission</b></p>
4	11/01091/FUL  Central Southsea	<b>26 Manners Road Southsea</b>  Change of use from house in multiple occupation (Class C4) to purposes falling within Class C4 (house in multiple occupation) or Class C3 (dwelling house)	<p>Three letters of representation have been received from the occupiers of nearby dwellings objecting on the grounds of the exacerbation of nuisance and parking problems associated with HMOs and there being too many HMOs in Manners Road.</p> <p>Although already in use as shared accommodation within Class C4 (HMO), the applicant seeks a planning permission that will enable the use of the property to interchange between a Class C3 dwellinghouse and a Class 4 HMO should the applicant wish to let the property to a family/related group of individuals for a period of time.</p> <p>Having regard to the aims and objectives of national guidance and the mix of uses in the context of the surrounding area, and given that the property is already in a Class C4 use, it is considered that the introduction of a level of flexibility that would enable an interchange between Class C3 and C4 uses would not result in an unacceptable</p>	<p>Simon Barnett</p> <p>Tel: 023 9284 1281</p> <p><b>Permission</b></p>

Item No	Application No Ward	Location Description of Development	Planning Officer's Comments	Case Officer Proposed Decision
5	11/01097/FUL Central Southsea	<b>36 Grayshott Road Southsea</b>  Change of use from Class C4 (house in multiple occupation) to purposes falling within Class C3 (dwellinghouse) or Class C4 (house in multiple occupation)	<p>imbalance of uses in the context of the surrounding area. Whilst I note the concern of the objector, it is considered that the continued use of the property either as a HMO by up to six persons or the occupation of the property as a dwellinghouse (Class C3) would not significantly affect the living conditions of the occupiers of neighbouring properties.</p> <p>Two emails/letters of objection have been received including representations from the occupiers of the neighbouring property at No.46. Their objections are summarised as follows: (a) detrimental impact on the neighbourhood; (b) increased congestion and parking issues; (c) increased noise and disturbance; (d) concern about how the property will be maintained; (e) owner does not live in the area and shows no interest in the area or respect for residents; and (f) devaluation of property values.</p> <p>Although already in use as shared accommodation within Class C4 (HMO), the applicant seeks a planning permission that will enable the use of the property to interchange between a Class C3 dwellinghouse and a Class 4 HMO should the applicant wish to let the property to a family/related group of individuals for a period of time.</p> <p>Having regard to the aims and objectives of national guidance and the mix of uses in the context of the surrounding area, and given that the property is already in a Class C4 use, it is considered that the introduction of a level of flexibility that would enable an interchange between Class C3 and C4 uses would not result in an unacceptable imbalance of uses in the context of the surrounding area. Whilst I note the concerns of the objectors relating to negative social and environmental impacts of the use, it is considered that the continued use of the property either as a HMO by up to six persons or the occupation of the property as a dwellinghouse (Class C3) would not significantly affect the living conditions of the occupiers of neighbouring properties.</p>	<p>Jade Ellis</p> <p>Tel: 023 9284 1470</p> <p><b>Conditional Permission</b></p>
6	11/01143/TPO  Drayton & Farlington	<b>45 Stroudley Avenue Portsmouth</b>  London Plane tree (T1) to fell and replace within Tree Preservation Order 92.	<p>One letter of support has been received from a neighbour, on safety grounds in that fallen leaves are dangerous and 'slippy'.</p> <p>The applicant has applied to fell and replace a protected London Plane tree (T1) because of damage being caused to a conservatory, excessive shading, low amenity value to the house and respiratory health issues.</p>	<p>Stephanie Hughes</p> <p>Tel: 023 9283 4300</p> <p><b>Refuse Consent</b></p>

Item No	Application No Ward	Location Description of Development	Planning Officer's Comments	Case Officer Proposed Decision
			<p>The London Plane tree (T1) is a large mature specimen that appears to be healthy and in a good overall condition. It is a prominent landscape feature and of high amenity value. It is over 20 metres in height with a canopy spread of greater than 15 metres. The trunk diameter is greater than 95cm at 1.5metres above ground level. The Council's arboricultural officer has carried out an Amenity Evaluation Assessment and the London Plane tree (T1) has an amenity product of 252, a tree with an amenity product of 75 or above is considered to be worthy of a Tree Preservation Order.</p> <p>The applicant's structural engineer's report states that the subsoil as indicated by geological survey comprised of Aeolian Deposits (Brickearth) mainly fine sandy silt, contaminated with gravel, overlying the Upper chalk. However it would seem unlikely that the conservatory has been affected by subsidence. The sub soils as indicated have a low shrinkage potential due to the fact that little or no clay particles would be contained within the soil causing it to shrink and subside.</p> <p>The report claims that damage to the conservatory has been caused by the London Plane tree (T1). The conservatory has been constructed less than 0.5 metres away from the base of the main stem this will undoubtedly have long term consequences. The minimum distance as indicated in BS 5837:2005 (Trees in relation to construction) to avoid direct damage to a light loaded structure i.e. garages, porches etc is 1.5 metres away. The conservatory should never have been built this close to a protected tree within the root protection area where there is a high probability of damage occurring to the roots.</p> <p>The engineer's report indicated evidence of cracking at the junction of the conservatory with the main wall of the house. The forces generated through growth although relatively small are capable of causing damage to light structures. This type of damage always occurs where inappropriately designed lightly loaded structures are constructed within 2 metres of the trunk. Although the damage is causing concern to the applicant it is relatively minor. Incremental growth of the trunk and major roots will continue throughout its life but as this is a mature specimen and anticipated future growth will be minimal.</p> <p>The applicant also has concerns about respiratory health issues, although the pollen, sap, leaf and fruit hairs may all trigger allergic reactions in those suffering from allergies. Whilst it has been</p>	

Item No	Application No Ward	Location Description of Development	Planning Officer's Comments	Case Officer Proposed Decision
7	11/01144/FUL  Eastney & Craneswater	<b>67 Festing Road Southsea</b>  Change of use from hotel (Class C1) to single dwelling house (Class C3)	<p>documented that London Plane trees may contribute to breathing difficulties it may not be the only cause of the problem.</p> <p>The Council's arboricultural officer has carried out a site inspection and concludes that the reasons put forward in support of the proposal do not substantiate or justify its removal. It is recommended that the canopy is reduced by approximately 3 metres (30%) back to previous pruning points and the crown is thinned by approximately 20%, ensuring a 2 metre clearance of all overhanging branches with the neighbouring garage roof and house, and it is pruned back from BT pole to allow for a 2 metre clearance. These works would, however, require a new application.</p> <p>The loss of the London Plane tree (T1), which is a prominent specimen, would have a major detrimental impact on the amenity of the area.</p> <p>A letter of objection has been received from a neighbouring occupier. Their concerns primarily relate to the use of the property as a house in multiple occupation. The letter also suggests that a condition should be imposed to restrict how the property could be used in the future.</p> <p>This application seeks permission for the change of use from a hotel (Class C1) to a single dwelling house (Class C3). The change of use to a house in multiple occupation (C4 or sui generis) would require a separate planning application. In land-use terms the proposed change of use from hotel to a single dwellinghouse is, considered acceptable and an objection could not be sustained on the grounds of its potential future use.</p>	<p>Jade Ellis</p> <p>Tel: 023 9284 1470</p> <p><b>Conditional Permission</b></p>
8	11/01165/TPO  Drayton & Farlington	<b>1-8 Clernara Court 8 Copper Beech Drive Portsmouth</b>  Beech Tree (T1) fell within Tree Preservation Order 164.	<p>One email supporting the application has been received from a neighbour on the grounds that; (a) the leaves blocked the highway drain causing it to overflow and flood the garage, (b) the tree can only be pruned every 3 years and within that time it touches the house rubbing the brickwork causing damage. They support the felling of the tree and maybe a more manageable species to be placed,</p> <p>Although the applicant has applied to either fell the tree (without a replacement) a second application has also been submitted to crown reduce by 2 metres, crown thin by a maximum of 30% and a crown lift to a maximum of 3 metres over the footpath. Those works are being dealt with under application (11/01189/TPO). The reason for the</p>	<p>Stephanie Hughes</p> <p>Tel: 023 9283 4300</p> <p><b>Refuse</b></p>



Item No	Application No Ward	Location Description of Development	Planning Officer's Comments	Case Officer Proposed Decision
			<p>application to fell is concern that the roots will damage the foundations of Clenara Court and cause the building to subside and that it will also damage the adjoining building, No.10. They have also received complaints from the occupiers of the adjoining property that the branches are banging on their windows, the branches are now also extending over the car parking area and that sap from the tree is causing damage to cars.</p> <p>The Beech tree (T1) is a mature healthy tree. It has healthy wound development on the main stem and surface rooting but no evidence of damage/severance of roots despite recent construction to the side of No.10. The tree has been previously reduced and crown thinned, and displays healthy branch unions and vigorous regrowth throughout the canopy resulting in good shape and form despite evidence of the removal of lower branches overhanging the adjacent property.</p> <p>The Council's arboricultural officer recommends that the application to fell the Beech tree (T1) is refused in that its loss would have a major detrimental impact on the amenity of the area. The maintenance works under application reference 11/01189/TPO are, however, considered acceptable.</p>	

## Part 3 - Information and News Items

FRIDAY 16 DECEMBER 2011

	WARD		OFFICER CONTACT
9		<p><b>Cabinet Member for Traffic and Transportation – Decision Meeting -Tuesday 20 December 2011 at 10 am</b> in the Executive Meeting Room, Floor 3, The Guildhall, Portsmouth</p> <p>Councillor Fazackarley will make a decision on the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Portsmouth City Council (Gladys Avenue (part)) (20mph Speed Limit) (No.35) Order 2011</li> <li>• Victory Primary Access Improvements</li> <li>• Portsmouth City Council Vehicle Removal Policy &amp; Procedures</li> </ul>	<p><b>Lucy Wingham</b>  <b>Customer,</b>  <b>Community &amp;</b>  <b>Democratic Services</b>  <b>Tel: 9283 4662</b></p>
10		<p><b>Employment Committee Meeting - 13 December 2011</b></p> <p>A special meeting of Employment Committee took place on <b>Tuesday 13 December.</b></p> <p>The committee considered the following:-</p> <p>Exempt item on terms and conditions            Exempt review of the Formal Action Policy and the application of special precautionary leave.</p>	<p><b>Vicki Plytas</b>  <b>Customer,</b>  <b>Community &amp;</b>  <b>Democratic Services</b>  <b>Tel: 9283 4058</b></p>
11	Cosham	<p><b>Public House The Wymering Arms 113 Medina Road</b>  <b>Ref No: 11/00482/FUL</b>  <b>Decision: Allowed</b>  <b>Decision Date: 09/12/2011</b></p> <p>An appeal was lodged against the refusal of planning permission for the construction of a two-storey building with accommodation within the roof to form 15 flats with associated car parking (following demolition of existing building) (re-submission of 10/01265/FUL).</p> <p>The appeal was decided by the written representation procedure, and the Inspector decided to allow the appeal. An application for the award of costs has been allowed in full by the Inspector.</p>	<p><b>Simon Barnett</b>  <b>Planning Services</b>  <b>Tel: 9284 1281</b></p>




## Part 3 - Information and News Items (cont'd)

FRIDAY 16 December 2011

	WARD		OFFICER CONTACT
12	Cosham	<p><b>Public House The Wymering Arms 113 Medina Road</b>  <b>Ref No: 10/01265/FUL</b>  <b>Decision: Allowed</b>  <b>Decision Date: 09/12/2011</b></p> <p>An appeal was lodged against the refusal of planning permission for the construction of a two-storey building with accommodation within the roof to form 15 flats with associated car parking (following demolition of existing building).</p> <p>The appeal was decided by the written representation procedure, and the Inspector decided to allow the appeal. An application for the award of costs has been allowed in part by the Inspector.</p>	<p><b>Simon Barnett</b>  <b>Planning Services</b>  <b>Tel: 9284 1281</b></p>
13	Cosham	<p><b>Land to the Rear of Park Mansions Magdala Road</b>  <b>Ref No: 11/00693/FUL</b>  <b>Decision: Dismissed</b>  <b>Decision Date: 14/12/11</b></p> <p>An appeal was lodged against the refusal of planning permission for the construction of 2-storey building to form 3 dwellinghouses.</p> <p>The appeal was decided by the written representation procedure, and the Inspector decided to dismiss the appeal.</p>	<p><b>Simon Barnett</b>  <b>Planning Services</b>  <b>Tel: 9284 1281</b></p>
14	Drayton & Farlington	<p><b>3 Brecon Avenue Drayton</b>  <b>Ref No: 11/00711/TPO</b>  <b>Decision: Dismissed</b>  <b>Decision Date: 08/12/2011</b></p> <p>An appeal was lodged against the refusal of planning consent to Copper Beech (T18) fell and replace within Tree Preservation Order 218.</p> <p>This appeal was dealt with under the Fast Track Procedure and the Inspector decided to dismiss the appeal.</p>	<p><b>Nicola Frampton</b>  <b>Planning Services</b>  <b>Tel: 9283 4305</b></p>

	WARD		OFFICER CONTACT
15	Charles Dickens	<p><b>Beechside Respite Care Unit (Revenue Contribution to Capital Expenditure)</b></p> <p>A capital project to extend Beechside Respite Care Unit is due to commence in January 2012, funding for which is in the approved Children's Services capital programme.</p> <p>The condition survey data has also identified that repairs should also be undertaken to the fabric of the existing building during 2012. Carrying out these works at the same time will minimise disturbance by having all the building works undertaken simultaneously by a single building contractor.</p> <p>A Revenue Contribution to Capital Outlay from the approved landlord maintenance revenue budget for 2011/12 is required to fund these additional works. The 2011/2012 Resources cash limit will be decreased by £20,000 and transferred to the revenue reserve for capital.</p>	<p><b>John Bean</b>  <b>Head of Buildings Maintenance</b>  <b>Tel: 9283 4651</b></p>
16		<p><b>St George's Beneficial Primary School - Primary Capital Programme – M&amp;E Refurbishment – M&amp;E Consultant Appointment</b></p> <p>A contract was entered into in July 2010 for the sum of £34,150 with WSP Group for the provision of the mechanical and electrical (M&amp;E) design services for the £2m Primary Capital Programme works at St George's Beneficial Primary School.</p> <p>This contract was awarded in line with the City Council's contract procedure rules and has already been increased in August 2011 by £17,850 as a result of re-designs and changes.</p> <p>A further increase of £5,000 is required in order to bring the project to a conclusion to avoid any further delays or disruption to the school.</p> <p>There are no financial implications as the additional costs can be funded from the approved capital budget for this scheme.</p>	<p><b>Mark Springett</b>  <b>AMS Design</b>  <b>Tel: 9283 4701</b></p>
17		<p><b>The Health Overview &amp; Scrutiny Panel</b></p> <p>The Health Overview &amp; Scrutiny Panel met on 15 December and made the following resolutions:</p> <p><b><u>Children's Dental Screening</u></b></p> <p>The Chair approach the Members of Parliament for Portsmouth to seek support for the introduction of an opt-out parental consent system for children's dental screening.</p>	<p><b>Jane Di Dino</b>  <b>Customer, Community &amp; Democratic Services</b>  <b>Tel: 9283 4060</b></p>

	WARD	OFFICER CONTACT
	<p data-bbox="188 185 322 217"><b>Contd/...</b></p> <p data-bbox="398 185 846 217"><b><u>Fair Oak, Low Secure Service</u></b></p> <ol data-bbox="398 225 1765 368" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The panel consider the service change to be a substantial variation.</li> <li>2. The consultation in January be broadened to include South East residents who have been sent elsewhere.</li> <li>3. A report reviewing the consultation be brought to the panel in February.</li> </ol> <p data-bbox="398 408 824 440"><b><u>Referral to Treatment Times</u></b></p> <p data-bbox="398 448 1765 512">A progress update on the clearing of the backlog be brought to the next Health Overview &amp; Scrutiny Panel meeting.</p> <p data-bbox="398 552 1285 584"><b><u>Centralisation of Services at St Mary's Healthcare Campus</u></b></p> <p data-bbox="398 592 1615 624">The report on the centralisation of services at St Mary's Healthcare Campus be noted.</p> <p data-bbox="398 663 680 695"><b><u>Unscheduled Care</u></b></p> <p data-bbox="398 703 994 735">The report on unscheduled care be noted.</p> <p data-bbox="398 775 779 807"><b><u>Vascular Surgery Review</u></b></p> <ol data-bbox="398 815 1765 1254" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On the clinical evidence submitted so far, the panel support the proposed inclusion of the option for Queen Alexandra Hospital to be a stand-alone unit in the vascular surgery review consultation document.</li> <li>2. Should the formation of a Joint Health Overview &amp; Scrutiny Committee (JHOSC) for this issue be triggered, the City Council agree the following representation on this and any future JHOSCs: <ol data-bbox="398 1110 1765 1254" style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) If two members of the Health Overview &amp; Scrutiny Panel are required, the Chair and Vice Chair be appointed.</li> <li>b) If three members of the Health Overview &amp; Scrutiny Panel are required, the Chair, Vice Chair and a member of the group that is not represented be appointed.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	

	WARD		OFFICER CONTACT
18		 <p data-bbox="389 325 806 368"><b>Christmas Greetings</b></p> <p data-bbox="389 405 1648 512">Jim and Joy Patey send their very best wishes to members and staff for Christmas and the New Year. They are sending this message in lieu of cards and will be making a donation to the Lord Mayor's Appeal.</p> 	
19		<div style="border: 3px double black; padding: 10px;"> <p data-bbox="510 756 828 783"><b>Christmas Greetings</b></p> <p data-bbox="510 831 1662 938">Councillor Mike Park sends his very best wishes to members and staff for Christmas and the New Year. He is sending this message in lieu of cards and will be making a donation to the Lord Mayor's Appeal.</p>  </div>	

## LICENSING ACT 2003 – APPLICATIONS RECEIVED BY THE LICENSING AUTHORITY

The Licensing Authority has received the following applications in accordance with the Licensing Act 2003. The table below outlines the premises location, a brief description of the application and the closing date by which responsible authorities or interested parties may make representations. An interested party is defined as either a *person* living in the vicinity of the premises, a *body* representing persons who live in that vicinity, a *person* involved in a business in that vicinity, a *body* representing persons involved in such businesses or a *member* of a relevant licensing authority.

Members should be aware that representations may only be made on the grounds of one or more of the licensing objectives. These are: “the prevention of crime and disorder”, “the prevention of public nuisance”, “public safety” and “the protection of children from harm”. Any representation must be in writing and should include evidence to support the licensing objectives.

If you have any queries relating to any of the applications outlined below, please contact the Licensing Section, Telephone number: 023 9283 4607 or email: [Licensing@portsmouthcc.gov.uk](mailto:Licensing@portsmouthcc.gov.uk).

Item No	Ward	Licence No:	Premises Name and Address	Brief description of application:	Closing date for representations:
20	Eastney & Craneswater	11/05027/L APREM	<b>Sainsbury's Supermarket</b>  Royal Albert Walk Albert Road Southsea PO4 0JX	<b>Premises Licence</b>  <b>Proposed Licensable Activities:</b> <b>Sale by retail of alcohol</b> Monday to Sunday 06:00 to 00:00	04/01/2012
21	Eastney & Craneswater	11/05031/L APRMV	<b>South Parade Pier</b>  Southsea Hants PO4 0SW	<b>Premises Licence Minor Variation Amending Licence Conditions</b>  <b>Proposed Licensable Activities:</b> <b>Boxing or wrestling entertainment</b> Monday to Saturday 11:00 to 02:00 Sunday 12:00 to 00:30  <b>Indoor sporting event</b> Monday to Saturday 11:00 to 02:00 Sunday 14:00 to 00:30  <b>Late night refreshment</b> Monday to Saturday 23:00 to 03:00 Sunday 23:00 to 01:30	22/12/2011

Item No	Ward	Licence No:	Premises Name and Address	Brief description of application:	Closing date for representations:
				<p><b>Other similar music or dance Entertainment</b> Monday to Saturday 10:00 to 02:00 Sunday 12:00 to 02:00</p> <p><b>Performance of dance</b> Friday and Saturday 11:00 to 03:00 Monday to Thursday 11:00 to 02:00 Sunday 12:00 to 00:30</p> <p><b>Performance of live music</b> Friday and Saturday 11:00 to 03:00 Monday to Thursday 11:00 to 02:00 Sunday 12:00 to 00:30</p> <p><b>Playing of recorded music</b> Friday and Saturday 11:00 to 03:00 Monday to Thursday 11:00 to 02:00 Sunday 12:00 to 00:30</p> <p><b>Provide facilities for dancing</b> Friday and Saturday 11:00 to 03:00 Monday to Thursday 11:00 to 02:00 Sunday 12:00 to 00:30</p> <p><b>Provide facilities for making music</b> Monday to Saturday 11:00 to 02:00 Sunday 12:00 to 22:30</p> <p><b>Provide facilities for other similar entertainment</b> Monday to Sunday 10:00 to 02:00</p> <p><b>Sale by retail of alcohol</b> Monday to Saturday 11:00 to 02:00 Sunday 12:00 to 00:30</p>	